**Software Developer Course Assessment**

**Quantitative Assessment Practice**

**Course Name: DevOps**

**Current Week: 12th July 2022**

**Submission date: 29th July 2022**

**Introduction:**

The purpose of this assessment is to help us understand how the class is doing in terms of the review material that we have covered during the previous couple of weeks. The **only** purpose of this assessment is for us to improve our approach to review and ensure that what we’re currently doing is an effective strategy. Completion of this assessment is **mandatory - if you don’t submit a solution, it will be marked as incomplete. You must complete a minimum of 80% of your assigned QAPs per course – otherwise you will be marked as incomplete for that course no matter how good your other grades are.** If you do submit a solution, it will be marked as complete, as you will receive full marks no matter what your actual performance was – again this is a participation grade.  
  
Again, the goal here is to help you all in the best way that we can, so please do be honest when answering the questions related to how long it took, which resources you used, etc. And please ensure that you do your **own** work – don't just copy off a friend to get it done, earnestly do your best with it. If you can’t get it completely working, give us what you have. While it will be graded, the grade will not count against you, it’s just a way for us to see where everybody is, and to know which concepts, if any, we, as a class, may be struggling with.

**Deadline:** You will have until the end of the day on Friday, 1st April (4:00pm) to submit your assessment answers. Please ensure you answer all the questions outlined in the instructions portion of this document as well in your submission.

**Marking:** In this program core evaluation is marked with one of three possible marks: *Incomplete, Pass, Pass Outstanding.* For QAPs, though, where incomplete marks are more important for our own information as well as for the information of the student, we wanted to increase the resolution of our grading system. Therefore, QAPs are marked on a scale of 1-5. The details of this marking system are summarized in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Grade** | **Meaning** |
| 1 | *Incomplete.* Student shows severe lack of understanding of the material – solution is heavily incomplete, non-functional, or completely off base of what the assignment was asking for. |
| 2 | *Partially Complete.* Students show some understanding of the material. Solution may be non-functional or partially functional, but the approach is correct, albeit with some major bugs or missing features. |
| 3 | *Mostly Complete.* Student demonstrates understanding of the major ideas of the assignment. Solution is mostly working, albeit with a few small bugs or significant edge cases which were not considered. Shows a good understanding of the correct approach, and is either nearly a feature-complete solution, or is a feature-complete solution with some bugs. |
| 4 | *Complete (Equivalent to: Pass.)* Student shows complete understanding of assigned work and implemented all necessary features. Any bugs that are present are insignificant (for example aesthetic bugs when testing the functionality of code) and do not impact the core functionality in a significant way. All necessary objectives for the assignment are completed, and the student has delivered something roughly equivalent to the canonical solution in terms of features and approach. |
| 5 | *Complete with Distinction (Equivalent to: Pass Outstanding)* The student demonstrates a clear mastery of the subject matter tested by the QAP. The solution goes above and beyond in some way, makes improvements on the canonical solution, or otherwise demonstrates the student’s mastery of the subject matter in some way. A solution in this category would consider all reasonable edge cases and implement more than the necessary functionality required by the assignment. |

**Instructions:**

You are allowed to complete the assessment problems below in whatever way you can but please answer the following questions/points as part of your submission:

1. How many hours did it take you to complete this assessment? (Please keep try to keep track of how many hours you have spent working on each individual part of this assessment as best you can - an estimation is fine; we just want a rough idea.)
2. What online resources you have used? (My lectures, YouTube, Stack overflow etc.)
3. Did you need to ask any of your friends in solving the problems. (If yes, please mention name of the friend. They must be amongst your class fellows.)
4. Did you need to ask questions to any of your instructors? If so, how many questions did you ask (or how many help sessions did you require)?
5. Rate (subjectively) the difficulty of each question from your own perspective, and whether you feel confident that you can solve a similar but different problem requiring some of the same techniques in the future now that you’ve completed this one.

Questions:

1. Create a new repo and upload file into the repo. Pull that file into local directory and make changes in files and upload them into repo.

2. Create a new branch from master and upload some files into the branch and merge them into master branch

3. Clone the repo to local directory and make some changes to one of the file. Commit and push them into GitHub repo. Do git revert to revert the commited changes to previous version.

Note: Submit your URL’s in teams for grading. Good Luck